

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN

District of

MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

GARNER HERBERT WOOD,,
Defendant

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case 4:07-CR-20094-2-FL

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I—Findings of Fact

- (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
 an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____.
 a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.

(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.

(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).

(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et. seq. under 18 U.S.C. § 924C.

X (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
 - (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence that

detention is appropriate in this matter. The information presented at the hearing reveals that the defendant is a lifelong resident of this community, and that he had previously been employed prior to having sustained an injury. The record further reveals that the defendant has a state court felony conviction for possession of cocaine. It further appears that the defendant did have in his possession a firearm in relation to the instant offense. Based upon the defendant's prior criminal history, his possession of a weapon, the nature of the charges against him, and the fact that he is facing a lengthy term of incarceration in this matter, I find that he has failed to rebut the presumption that there are no conditions or combination of conditions which will assure his appearance in court, or the safety of the community.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: March 12, 2007

s/ Steven D. Pepe

STEVEN D. PEPE. U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or © Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 12, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send such notification of such filing to the following: Mark C. Jones, AUSA., and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service/hand delivered the paper to the following non-ECF participants: Anthony N. Pappadakis, 934 Church St., Flint, MI 48502, Dennis Snyder, 10751 S. Saginaw St., Ste. F, Grand Blanc, MI 48439, United States Marshal Service, 600 Church St., Flint, MI, 48502, Pretrial Services Officer, 600 Church St., Flint, MI 48502.

s/James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S.District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete_peltier@mied.uscourts.gov